No. 8566—*Ml.* 1-24-27, *dated 2nd June* 1925.

Under Section 48 (1) of the Land Acquisition Regulation, VII of 1894, Government are pleased to withdraw from the Acquisition the undermentioned properties declared for acqusition under Government Notification No. L. 2360—Ml. 1-22-15, dated the 12th September 1922 as required for connecting Gundopanth's Street with Sadara Patrappa's Lane, Bangalore City :—

Door No. 306, Avenue Road, Sivappa.
„ No. 305 Do Kambi Revanna.
„ No. 307 Do B. Basamma.
„ No. 308 Do Sivappa.
„ No. 180 Do Narayanasingh.
„ No. 179 Do Abdul Sammad.
„ No. 1, Gundopanth Street, Abdul Razak.
„ No. 2 Do Hajee Abdul Bakur Saib.
„ Nos. 75 and 76, Old Butchers, Lane, Abdul Gaffoor (declared to belong to Karim Sab).
„ No. 77, Old Butchers' Lane, Kajim Mohadeen Sab.

No. L. 8587—*L. B.* 9-24-77, *dated 5th June* 1925.

Under Rule 22 of the Rules for the election of members of Village Panchayets as amended by Government Notification No. L. 2700—L. B. 34-21-2, dated the 11th November 1921, the term of the Village Panchayets in the Bangalore and Kankanhalli Taluks, which expires on the 31st May and 1st June 1925 respectively, is hereby extended up to the 15th June 1925 pending their reconstitution in due course.

No. L. 8658—*Ml.* 10-24-34, *dated 6th June* 1925.

Under Section 48 (1) of the Mysore Land Acquisition Regulation, VII of 1894, Government are pleased to withdraw from the acquisition of land measuring 728 square yards out of Khaneshumari No. 46 of Sorab Town declared in Government Notification No. L. 8517—Ml. 10-23-6, dated 22nd April 1924 as required for constructing a Town Hall in the place.

No. L. 8671—*Ml.* 56-24-288, *dated 6th June* 1925.

Under Rule 10 of the Rules for the election of Presidents and Vice-Presidents of Town and Minor Municipal Councils issued with Notification No. L. 6342—Ml. 56-24-233, dated the 28th February 1925, the election of Mr. M. G. Shama Rao as Vice-President of the Town Municipal Council, Bowringpet, is approved.

By Order,

T. K. SOMESWARA IYER,
For *Secretary to Government,*
Local and Legislative Departments.
(*in charge*).

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY.

No. 27.

PROCEEDINGS OF A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, BANGALORE, HELD ON SATURDAY, THE 4TH APRIL 1925, AT 8 A.M., IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER.

Present ... 27 | Absent ... 2
Vacant ...

Subject.—Letter No. L. 4455—Ml. 68-22-43, dated 18th December 1924, from the Secretary to Government, Local and Legislative Departments, forwarding a copy of memo of irregularities noticed by the Comptroller in the audit of the accounts of the Bangalore City Municipality and calling for a report of action taken thereon.

Resolution.—1. *Case of Tax Inspector, Ramachandriah.*

Paras (1), (2) and (3) of the Auditor's Report relating to the Karaga collections of 1923 were read out to the Councillors, as also the explanation of Ramachandriah.

After some discussion, the Councillors were of opinion that as Ramachandriah was already punished by the late President, Mr. Ananda Rao Sirsi, in respect of his collections during the Karaga festival of 1923, by being reverted from the Market Inspector's place to his permanent place as Tax Inspector, no further orders were needed on this point, but that it might be taken into consideration along with other matters standing against him.

At this stage Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao proposed and Mr. Belur Srinivasa Iyengar seconded that the entire correspondence be referred to a Committee with instructions that they should go into the irregularities referred to in it and make such proposals as they might deem suitable in regard to each person found involved in it.

This was put to vote and lost, as 12 voted 'For' and 14 'Against' it. Further discussion continued.

Para (4) *of the Auditor's Report.*

The Council observed that Ramachandriah's procedure in permitting the Shanbhog to issue receipts for the collections he himself made on warrants and for which he had to issue receipts from his own book was irregular, 14 'For' and 4 'Against.' Poll was demanded by Messrs. G. Paramasiviah and C. Hayavadana Rao.

The following voted 'For':—1. Mr. B. N. Vijayadeva, 2. Mr. C. N. Narasinga Rao, 3. Mr. D. Venkataramiah, 4. Mr. G. Paramasiviah, 5. Mr. P. Subbarama Chetty, 6. Mr. G. B. Raghavendra Char, 7. Mr. V. A. Krishnamurthi Mudaliar, 8. Mr. G. Siddaveerappa, 9. Mr. C. K. Hutchappa, 10. Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao, 11. Mr. P. Murugesam Pillay, 12. Mr. P. M. Basappa, 13. Mr. S. Venkatarangaiengar, 14. Mr. N. V. Kalyanapur.

The following voted 'Against':—1. Mr. M. Ramachandra Rao Sindia, 2. Mr. B. Abdur Rahmon, 3. Mr. W. H. Hanumanthappa and 4. Mr. S. N. Sitharama Rao.

Paras (5) *and* (6) *of the Auditor's Report.*

The Council was of opinion that there was lack of supervision on Tax Inspector Ramachandriah's part over Shanbhog Narasimiah's work which enabled the latter to temporarily misappropriate moneys collected by him. Voting 14 'For' and none 'Against.'

Note.—Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao invited the attention of the house to the statement made by Mr. Abdur Rahmon, *viz.*, that there was no irregularity in the procedure adopted and that it was the usual practice at the time, when he was Vice-President. To this observation Mr. Abdur Rahmon took objection and said that these remarks were not in order as they referred to him personally.

The President ruled that Mr. Hayavadana Rao did not make any personal references to Mr. Abdur Rahmon and that any remarks made as applying to one's official capacity were in order.

At this stage there was a motion for adjournment of the house, which was carried, 16 voting 'For' and 3 'Against.' The meeting was then adjourned to Saturday, 11th April 1925, at 8 A.M.

No. 28.

EXTRACTS FROM THE PROCEEDINGS OF AN ORDINARY GENERAL MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, BANGALORE, HELD ON SATURDAY THE 11TH APRIL 1925, AT 8 A.M., IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER.

Present ...	... 22	Absent ...	... 8

In opening the proceedings of the day, the President informed the Council, that the Dewan of Mysore inspected the Hoskota Road, the New Market and the Mysore Road on the morning of the 9th instant, that he was very much pleased with the progress of improvements carried so far, that it was his intention that all works of a remunerative nature, *viz.*, the 2 rows of grain shops, a complete row of shops on Sethu Rao's Street and the Mutton Market should be completed during the course of the next year and that the Dewan Sahib was pleased to observe that these works were so important that in case the Municipal Council were short of funds, he would be pleased to advance the required funds at 6 per cent interest. (*Note.*—Though the first subject for consideration was further discussion of the letter, from the Secretary to Government, Local and Legislative Departments, in the matter of irregularities noticed by the Auditor in the audit of the accounts of the Municipality, the President with the unanimous consent of the Councillors took up first some urgent subjects noted below on the agenda of the ordinary monthly meeting for April 1925.)

* * * * *

2. Chief Engineer's letter No. 13007, dated 21st March 1925, requesting formal approval to the borrowing of water from the Civil and Military Station.

Resolution.—Approved.

* * * * *

5. Letter No. L. 6948—Ml. 22-24-20, dated 30th March 1925, from the Secretary to Government, Local and Legislative Departments, requesting to obtain the views of the present Council in the matter of the resolution dated 22nd October 1924, of the old Council recommending that the Office Manager, City Municipal Office, may be appointed as Office Assistant.

Resolution.—This Council unanimously re-affirms the resolution of the Council dated 22nd October 1924, recommending that Mr. M. Govinda Rao, B.A., B.L., Office Manager, may be appointed Office Assistant on Rs. 200—10—250. This Council further considers that no conveyance allowance need be attached to that post.

6. Letter dated 26th February 1925, from Mr S. N. M. Razvi, Municipal Councillor, proposing to move the following propositions :—

"This Council resolves a sub-committee be formed with a view to—(*a*) Study the nature of the several items of Municipal Expenditure and suggest retrenchment in them.

(*b*) Investigate whether the salaries of the Municipal establishment fetching more than Rs. 100 a month are not too high, when compared with the *re* sources and requirements of the Municipality and to suggest ways and means of reducing them.

(*c*) To investigate, if the emoluments of the subordinate staff and menials of the Municipality fetching less than Rs. 100 a month are enough in relation to the cost of living in this City and to make definite suggestions regarding improvement in them."

Resolution.—After some discussion as to the idea of the mover in bringing this proposition, Mr. Razvi consented to a modification of the proposition in the following terms, which was put to vote and carried unanimously.

"Resolved that a committee of the following members be appointed to examine the annual expenditure incurred by the Municipality and to suggest such reductions, alterations or increases as they might deem fit.

1. Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao (Chairman), 2. Mr. S. Venkataranga Iyengar, 3. Mr. P. Subbarama Chetty, 4. Mr. Belur Srinivasiengar, 5. Mr. S. N. M. Razvi, (6) Mr. B. L. Byanna and the Municipal Commissioner."

7. Lease of 3 shops Nos. 2, 3 and 4 in the New Market to the Postal Department for a period of five years for opening a Sub-Post Office at a rent of Rs. 60 per mensem, excluding lighting charges.

Resolution.—Approved.

8. Letter No. C. 2934 of 24-25, dated 13th March 1925, from the Inspector-General of Education, Bangalore, requesting the opinion of the Municipal Council, if the Municipal Commissioner may not be authorised to countersign the travelling allowance bills of certain teachers transferred from the Education Department to the City Municipal Schools, Bangalore.

Resolution.—Resolved that the present Chairman of the Education Committee, Mr. D. Venkataramiah, B.A., L.T., Retired Circle Inspector of Schools, be authorised to countersign the travelling allowance bills.

(*Note.*—At this stage, it was nearly 9 A.M. Some of the Councillors suggested that the Special Subject *re* the defalcations may be taken up while some others said that other subjects on the agenda of the monthly meeting may be taken up and the special subject later on. Then the question was put to vote. Sixteen Councillors were of opinion that the special subject should be taken up. So the ordinary meeting was adjourned.)

No. 29.

PROCEEDINGS OF AN ADJOURNED SPECIAL MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, BANGALORE, HELD ON SATURDAY THE 11TH APRIL 1925, AT 9 A.M. IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER.

Present No.—22. | Absent No.—8.

Subject.—Further consideration of the letter, from the Secretary to Government, Local and Legislative Departments, *re* irregularities in the audit of the accounts of the Bangalore City Municipality.

(At the outset, Mr. D. Venkataramiah proposed that the whole question be referred to a sub-committee for submitting a report. After some discussion, the Council did not approve of the proposal.)

Para No. 7 of the Auditor's Report. Case of Tax Inspector Ramachandriah.

In the matter of the second receipt given to Mr. Sivappa on the night of 17th February 1923 for a sum of Rs. 306-8-0, it was discussed how far Tax Inspector Ramachandriah was privy to the affair. When the question was put to vote, 9 Councillors were of opinion that he was also privy to the affair and 11 were of an opposite view. Messrs. B. N. Vijayadeva and G. Paramasiviah demanded a poll. The following 9 voted for the first view :—

1. Mr. B. N. Vijayadeva, 2. Mr. G. Paramasiviah, 3. Mr. D. Venkataramiah, 4. Mr. G. Siddaveerappa, 5. Mr. M. C. Chicknanjundappa, 6. Mr. B. Abdur Rahmon, 7. Mr. C. N. Narasinga Rao, 8. Mr. N. V. Kalyanpur, and 9. Mr. S. Venkatarangaiengar.

The following 11 were of the other view :—

1. Mr. Belur Srinivasaiengar, 2. Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao, 3. Mr. S. N. Sitharama Rao, 4. Mr. B. V. Krishniengar, 5. Mr. M. Ramachandra Rao Sindia, 6. Mr. B. L. Byanna, 7. Mr. S. N. M. Razvi, 8. Mr. V. Venkateshiah, 9. Mr. P. Subbarama Chetty, 10. Mr. G. Muniyappa, and 11. G. B. Raghavendrachar.

The official was then called into the Council Chamber and was again given an opportunity to explain himself with regard to the irregularities brought to notice by the Auditor.

The question of punishment, if any, to be meted out to this official was next considered.

Mr. S. N. M. Razvi proposed and Mr. G. Siddaveerappa seconded that having regard to the fact that he has put in nearly 35 years' service and is about to complete his 55 years, he be retired at once. This was carried, 14 voting 'For' and 2 'Against'.

II. *Case of Shanbhog Narayana Rao.*

The charges against him were read out as also the explanation furnished by him. He was also called in to make any further representation. He said he had nothing more to say than what he had already stated in his explanation.

The Council considered that the charges were very serious and that his explanation was not satisfactory and directed that he should be dismissed from service at once.

III. *Case of Tax Inspector, M. Vasudeva Rao.*

The charges were read out as also his written explanation. He was also permitted to make any further representation. The Council considered that the charges were serious and directed that his services also should be dispensed with forthwith.

IV. *Case of Tax Inspector, Nanjundiah.*

The Council considered that as this official was retired from service long ago, the case need not be enquired into now, more specially as the sum of Rs. 11 referred to therein had since been recovered.

V. *Case of Mr. A. Narasinga Rao, the then Revenue Manager and the present Octroi Inspector.*

The charges against Mr. Narasinga Rao, as also his explanation were read out.

Messrs. P. Subbarama Chetty, S. N. M. Razvi, B. Abdur Rahmon and Belur Sreenivasa-Iengar observed that the audit report did not disclose anything serious for which Mr. Narasinga Rao was directly responsible, that in the midst of his heavy out door work he could not devote time to those minute details and that he might be simply warned to be more careful in future.

(At this stage, there was a motion for adjournment as it was nearly 11 A. M. The motion was lost as 7 voted 'For' and 10 'Against' it.)

The subject was again taken up and when it was 11-30 A. M., the house decided to adjourn further discussion to Tuesday the 14th April 1925 at 8 A. M.

No 30.

PROCEEDINGS OF AN ADJOURNED SPECIAL MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, BANGALORE, HELD ON TUESDAY THE 14TH APRIL 1925, AT 8 A.M., IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER.

Members.

Present	... 24	Absent	... 6

(The President brought to the notice of the Council that the Municipal City Improvement Committee which had arranged to meet at Basavangudi on Monday morning (13th instant) could not do any work for want of a quorum, though the members present waited for nearly an hour. He hoped that in future at least the members would attend regularly and evince greater interest.)

Letters dated 13th April 1925, from Messrs. B. K. Garudachar and W. H. Thorp, expressing their inability to attend the meeting were read and recorded.

Further discussion of the special subject regarding irregularities in the accounts of the City Municipality was continued.

At the suggestion of Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao, the Council resolved to go into a Committee under Rule 27 of the Rules for the conduct of business with a view to submitting its recommendations after full discussion to the Council.

The following are the conclusions of the Committee as regards the charges noted against Mr. A. Narasinga Rao in the Auditor's Report.

(*a*) "Resolved that in the opinion of this house, it is not made out that it is the specific duty of the Revenue Manager to see that the receipt books issued to the Shanbhogs had been serially numbered as required by the footnote to Form 21, M. A. M., and that the Revenue Manager in all the circumstances of the case has acted *bona-fide* throughout in the matter."

This was proposed by Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao and seconded by Mr. C. N. Narasinga Rao. 17 voted 'For' and 5 'Against' it.

Messrs. C. Hayavadana Rao and G. Paramasiviah demanded a poll.

The following 17 voted 'For':—

1. Mr. B. V. Krishniengar, 2. Mr. W. H. Hanumanthappa, 3. Mr. G. Muniyappa, 4. Mr. Belur Srinivasiengar, 5. Mr. S. N. M. Razvi, 6. Mr. V. Venkatesiah, 7. Mr. B. Abdur Rahmon, 8. Mr. B. L. Byanna, 9. Mr. G. B. Raghavendra Char, 10. Mr. C. N. Narasinga Rao, 11. Mr. S. N. Sitharama Rao, 12. Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao, 13. Mr. S. Venkatarangaiengar, 14. Mr. M. Ramachandra Rao Sindia, 15. Mr. P. Subbrama Chetty, 16. Mr. Venkataramiah, and 17. Mr. V. A. Krishnamurthi Mudaliar.

The following 5 voted 'Against':—

1. Mr. G. Paramasiviah, 2. Mr. G. Siddaveerappa, 3. Mr. N. V. Kalyanpur, 4. Mr. B. N. Vijayadeva and 5. Mr. P. M. Basappa.

(*b*) "Resolved that in the opinion of this house it is not made out that it is the duty of the Revenue Manager to see that the collections made by the Tax Inspectors and Shanbhogs, whether in cash or by cheque were entered in the Khirdi on the dates of collection and against the parties from whom the amounts were recovered as required in Article 63 of Chapter IV and tendered their collections daily as per Article 64."

Thirteen Councillors voted 'For' and 7 'Against' it. Messrs. C. Hayavadna Rao and G. Paramasiviah demanded a poll.

The following 13 Councillors voted 'For':—

1. M. B. V. Krishniengar, 2. Mr. W. H. Hanumanthappa, 3. Mr. G. Muniyappa, 4. Mr. Belur Srinivasaiengar, 5. Mr. S. N. M. Razvi, 6. Mr. V. Venkatesiah, 7. Mr. B. Abdur Rahmon, 8. Mr. B. L. Byanna, 9. Mr. G. B. Raghavendrachar, 10. Mr. S. N. Sitharama Rao, 11. Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao, 12. Mr. M. Ramachandra Rao Sindia and 13. Mr. V. A. Krishnamurthi Mudaliar.

The following 7 Councillors voted 'Against':—

1. Mr. G. Paramasiviah, 2. Mr. G. Siddaveerappa, 3. Mr. C. N. Narasinga Rao, 4. Mr. N. V. Kalyanpur, 5. Mr. B. N. Vijayadeva, 6. Mr. P. M. Basappa and 7. Mr. M. C. Chiknanjundappa.

(*c*) "Resolved that in the opinion of this house it is not made out that he did not by periodical examination of a few cases in each division, satisfy himself of the correctness of arrears shown in the *Baki patties* and the Arrear Demand Register.

Eighteen Councillors voted 'For' and none 'Against' the resolution.

As it was nearly 12 O'clock, these resolutions of the Committee could not be reported to the Council for confirmation.

The Council then adjourned to Tuesday the 20th instant at 8 A.M.

No. 31.

EXTRACTS FROM THE PROCEEDINGS OF AN ADJOURNED GENERAL MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, BANGALORE, HELD ON MONDAY THE 20TH APRIL 1925, AT 8 A.M. IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER.

MEMBERS.

Present ... 24. | *Absent* ... 6.

Ordinary Subjects.

* * * * *

23. Appointment of the Municipal Advocate.

Resolution.—Eight advocates had applied for the post, of whom, 2, *viz.*, Messrs. H. V. Narayana Rao and C. Lakshmana Gowda, withdrew their candidature. The selection was thus confined to the other 6 candidates. There were 24 Councillors present and all took part in the voting. The result of voting was as follows:—

Mr. H. Sreenivasa Murthi, B.A., LL.B....	...	... 15 votes.
,, N. Balakrishniah, B.A., LL.B. ...	...	... 8 votes.
,, S. K. Venkatarangaiengar, B.A., LL.B.	...	... 1 vote.

Mr. H. Sreenivasa Murthi was accordingli appointed Municipal Advocate for a period coterminous with that of the present Council.

* * *

25. Shifting the Basavangudy Dispensary from its present building to a more spacious one near the Post and Telegraphic Office.

Resolution.—Resolved that the Dispensari be shifted from its present building (Mr. Benne Govindappa's) to Mr. Chinniya Raju's building near the Post Office at a rent of Rs. 40+5 lighting charges, per mensem, as the latter is more commodious and provides accommodation to the Sub-Assistant Surgeon as well-who will therefore be required to pay a nominal rent of Rs. 5 per month and that a lease for five years be obtained from the owner of the building.

26. Disposal of tenders for the construction of a masonry drain on the northern side of Hoskota Road.

Resolution.—Eight contractors had tendered their tenders for this work. There was a good deal of discussion, as to whether some of the tenders which did not comply with the specification called for may be rusticated. The question was put to vote and 12 Councillors were of the opinion that they may be rusticated and 7 were of an opposite view.

Mr. W. H. Hanumanthappa demanded a poll, which was not taken as there was no seconder.

Of the eight contractors, 3 were accordingly rusticated and the lowest of the other 5 tenders, *viz.*, that of Mr. Chik Ramayya for Rs. 3,579-9-0 was accepted.

* * * * *

No. 32.

PROCEEDINGS OF A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, BANGALORE, HELD ON THURSDAY, THE 30TH APRIL 1925, AT 8 A.M. IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER.

Present ... 17. | Absent ... 13.

Just when the meeting began, at 8-15 A.M., Mr. S. N. M. Razvi proposed that the meeting be adjourned to some other day, out of respect to the memory of Sir P. Thyagaraja Chetty, the late President of the Madras Corporation, who passed away on the night of the 28th April 1925. This was seconded by Mr. B. Abdur Rahmon. Mr. C. N. Narasinga Rao proposed that the Meeting be adjourned for five minutes. This was seconded by Mr. B. L. Byanna. The latter proposition was carried, as eight voted for it and three for the former.

The meeting was accordingly adjoured for five minutes.

When the Council re-assembled at 8-20 A.M., Mr. S. Venkatarangaiengar proposed that the meeting be further adjourned to another five minutes out of respect to the memory of Diwan Bahadur A. Ramachandra Iyer, one of our eminent Chief Judges, who passed away recently. This was seconded by Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao. Mr. G. Siddaveerappa proposed an amendment that the meeting be adjourned till 5 P.M. in the evening. This was seconded by Mr. R. Gopalaswami Iyer. The latter proposition was carried as nine voted for it and seven for the former.

The meeting was accordingly adjourned to 5 P.M. this evening.

No. 33.

PROCEEDINGS OF AN ADJOURNED SPECIAL MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, HELD ON THURSDAY, THE 30TH APRIL 1925, AT 5 P.M., IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER.

Present ... 23. | Absent ... 7.

1. Correspondence ending with letter No. C. 1335-24, dated 11th April 1925, from the Excise Commissioner in Mysore, requesting the Council to consider the advisability of allowing the arrack and toddy shops to continue in the existing Municipal building near Binny Mills for the next official year. Also letter No. 1365, dated 13th April 1925, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, making a similar request.

Resolution.—The Council regret that they are not in favour of reconsidering their original resolution No. 31, dated 21st March 1925.

2. Continuance or otherwise of the grant of Rs. 30 per mensem to the Milk Depot, opened in Ganigarpet, by the Civic and Social Progress Association, Bangalore, from 1st July 1925.

Resolution.—The grant may be continued for another year from 1st July 1925.

3. Appointment of a Draftsman for the Office of the Special Engineer, City Improvement Works, on a pay of about Rs. 80 a month for a period of six months.

Resolution.—Resolved that provision be made in the budget for the appointment of a Draftsman on Rs. 80 a month for six months, subject to the condition that no appointment should be made without the sanction of the Council.

4. Construction of shops in the New Market on the Setu Rao's Street, at a cost of about Rs. 40,000.

Resolution.—Resolved that a provision of Rs. 40,000 be made for this purpose in the ensuing year's budget.

Voting.—17 'For' and 5 'Against.'

5. Construction of Mutton and Fish Markets at a cost of about Rs. 20,000.

Resolution.—Resolved that a provision of Rs. 20,000 be made in the ensuing year's budget for the construction of a new Mutton and Fish Markets in a place to be selected hereafter, outside the City Market premises. (The place to be selected hereafter by the City Improvement Committee).

Voting.—17 'For' and 1 'Against.'

6. Construction of a row of storeyed shops on the Mysore Road on the site, where the present Mutton Market and the Old Octroi Ware house stand at a cost of about Rs. 50,000.

Resolution.—Resolved unanimously that a provision of Rs. 50,000 be made in the ensuing year's budget for the construction of a row of storeyed shops on the site proposed.

7. Removal of conjestion and improvement of slums and construction of poor houses at a cost of Rs. 1,50,000 and this amount, the Government may be respectfully requested to grant.

Resolution.—Resolved unanimously that a recommendation be made to Government to grant a sum of Rs. 1,50,000 for the said purpose.

8. Letter No. 1435, dated 17th April 1925, from the Chief Engineer in Mysore, to the Secretary to the Government, Local and Legislative Departments, forwarding a list of works to be undertaken during 1925-26 towards improvements to the Water Supply, Bangalore City, and requesting the Council to make a provision therefor amounting to Rs. 8,42,820 in the ensuing year's budget.

Resolution—The subject was discussed at great length and Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao proposed and Mr. Belur Sreenivasiengar seconded the following resolution :—

" Resolved that the estimates sent up by the Chief Engineer be accepted and shown separately under a separate head 'Water Works, Loan Scheme,' in the budget and that the amount be met from the loan to be floated as per and subject to the resolutions already adopted and submitted to Government for their approval in this connection.

This resolution was discussed at great length and it was nearly 8-15 P.M. Further discussion was adjourned to tomorrow (1st May 1925) at 5 P.M.

No. 34.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE ADJOURNED SPECIAL MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, BANGALORE, HELD ON FRIDAY HET 1ST MAY 1925, AT 5 P. M., IN THE COUNCIA CHAMBER.

MEMBERS.

Present number .... 15 | Absent number ... 15.

Mr. B. L. Byanna proposed to move the following proposition :—

"This Council resolves that a deputation of the Municipal Council do wait upon the Dewan Sahib as early as possible to respectfully represent to him the situation created by the proposed enhancement of taxation in the City to meet the capital charges of the improvements to the Sri Chamarajendra Water Works and further urge upon the Government the desirability of the said charges in respect of the said improvements being met by the benign Government and the City Municipal Council in proportion of 2/3 and 1/3 respectively ".—

The President ruled the proposition out of order for want of a notice.

Subject No. 8. (Continued).

The resolution on this subject proposed by Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao and seconded by Mr. Belur Srinivasiengar was discussed again and was carried, 9 voting 'For' and 5 against.

Messrs. B. L. Byanna and C. Hayavadana Rao demanded a poll.

The following 9 voted 'For' :—(1) The President, 2. Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao, 3. Mr. B. N. Vijayadeva, 4. Mr. N. V. Kalyanpur, 5. Mr. S. Venkataranga Iyengar, 6. Mr. G. Muniyappa, 7. Mr. P. Subbarama Chetty, 8. Mr. V. A. Krishnamurthi Mudaliar and (9) Mr. C. N. Narasinga Rao.

The following 5 voted against :—1. Mr. G. B. Raghavendrachar, 2. Mr. W. H. Hanumanthappa, 3. Mr. S. N. M. Razvi, 4. Mr. Venkatesiah and (5) Mr. B. L. Byanna.

9. Revised estimate for Rs. 870 received from the Special Engineer, for laying out the market circus with berm and kerbing only.

Resolution.—Sanctioned. The work to be done on piece work system.

10. Consideration of the draft budget estimates of the Bangalore City Municipality for 1925-26.

Resolutions.—(1) Mr. B. L. Byanna proposed that the estimate under receipts against "Tolls and Ferries" be increased by Rs. 4,000. The proposition was lost.

(2) Statement of Receipts :—Passed.

(2) Statement of Expenditure under 'A' General. Administration and 'B' Public Safety :—Passed.

As it was 7-30 P.M., the meeting was adjourned to 2nd May 1925 at 8 A.M.

No. 35.

PROCEEDINGS OF AN ADJOURNED SPECIAL MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, BANGALORE, HELD ON SATURDAY THE 2ND MAY 1925, AT 8 A. M. IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER.

Present No. 19. Absent No. 11.

Subject.—Further consideration of Draft Budget Estimates of the Bangalore City Municipality for 1925-26.

(4) *Statement of Expenditure*:—D. Public Works carried out by the Civil Department—Mr. S. N. M. Razvi proposed and Mr. B. L. Byanna seconded that the total amount shewn against this head, *viz.*, Rs. 1,42,000 be reduced by one rupee. Several members spoke against the cut motion and after some discussion, Mr. S. N. M. Razvi withdrew the proposition.

(5) *E 2. Conservancy Establishment.*—Under this head, Mr. P. M. Basappa suggested that an additional sum of Rs. 12,000 be provided under this head to improve the daily sanitation of the City. The Health Officer was requested to submit definite proposals in the matter, limiting the expenditure to a sum of Rs. 3,000 for the present.

(6) *E. 3. C. Lady Health Visitor*:—Mr. C. N. Narasinga Rao proposed and S. N. M. Razvi seconded that the provision of Rs. 3,500 under this head be omitted. After some discussion, the preposition was withdrawn.

(7) *F. Public Institution*:—It was resolved that a sum of Rs. 2,000 be provided under this head for the Medical Inspection of School Children, the amount to be met either from surplus or by re-appropriation.

(8) Resolved that the provision under "Deaf and Dumb School" be retained pending the receipt of a report from the Education Committee.

(9) *Statement of City Improvement works*:—Mr. G. B. Raghavendrachar proposed that the provision of Rs. 23,000 made against item No. 2 Self-Supporting works, *viz.*, "Widening of the Hoskota Road near the Metal Factory," be put off for another year. This was seconded by Mr. B. L. Byanna. After much discussion, the proposition was lost, as only 4 voted 'For' and 12 'Against' it. Messrs. B. L. Byanna and C. Hayavadana Rao, demanded a poll.

The following voted 'For' the proposition:—1. Mr. B. L. Byanna, 2. Mr. S. N. M. Razvi 3. Mr. G. B. Raghavendrachar and 4. Mr. W. H. Hanumanthappa.

The following voted 'Against' the proposition:—1. The President, 2. Mr. D. Venkataramiya, 3. Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao, 4. Mr. G. Paramasiviah, 5. Mr. P. M. Basappa, 6. Mr. S. Venkataranga Iyengar, 7. Mr. N. V. Kalyanpur, 8. Mr. M. C. Chiknanjundappa, 9. Mr. B. N. Vijayadeva, 10. Mr. G. Muniyappa, 11. Mr. Belur Sreenivasa Iyengar, and 12. Mr. C. N. Narasinga Rao.

The provision of Rs. 23,000 was accordingly retained.

(10) As regards the provision of Rs. 6,000 made for improvement of Drains in Mavalli, under item No. 2. Sanitary works, etc., there was some discussion as to the necessity therefor in respect of this particular locality. The provision was retained as 14 voted For and none 'Against' it.

Mr. G. Paramasiviah and Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao demanded a poll.

The following voted 'For' the proposition:—1. The President, 2. Mr. D. Venkataramaiya, 3. Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao, 4. Mr. G. Paramasiviah, 5. Mr. P. M. Basappa, 6. Mr. R. Venkatarangaiengar, 7. Mr. N. V. Kalyanpur, 8. Mr. G. Siddaveerappa, 9. Mr. M. C. Chiknanjundappa, 10. Mr. B. N. Vijayadeva, 11. Mr. G. Muniyappa, 12. Mr. G. B. Raghavendrachar, 13. Mr. Belur Sreenivasaiengar and 14. Mr. C. N. Narasinga Rao.

(11) Mr. S. Venkatarangaienger proposed and Mr. N. V. Kalyanpur seconded that a sum of Rs. 15,000 be provided under item No. 2. Self-Supporting works, for the acquisition of lands for completing the lay out and drainage of the South-East corner of the Malleswaram Extension. The proposition was carried, 10 'For' and none 'Against.'

(12) Mr. B. L. Byanna proposed that a sum of Rs. 88,000 be provided in the Budget for the acquisition of properties to the East of Mavalli for opening Roads and forming sites to the west of Lal-Bagh Gardens.

Resolved that the Council do inspect the place and than take up the subject for consideration.

Mr. C. N. Narasinga Rao proposed that the Draft Budget be adopted with the above modifications approved by the Council. This was seconded by Mr. Belur Sreenivasa Iyengar and carried unanimously.

No. 36.

PROCEEDINGS OF SPECIAL MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, BANGALORE, HELD ON SATURDAY THE 9TH MAY 1925, AT 8. A.M. IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER.

Present number ... ... 21. | Absent number ... ... 9.

(*N.B.*—The Council continues to sit in Committee.)

Further discussion of the letter from the Secretary to Government, Local and Legislative Departments, regarding irregularities in the accounts of the City Municipality was continued.

When the allegation made in the audit report against Mr. R. Raghunatha Rao, the then Head Accountant and the present Revenue Manager, were being discussed, it was pointed out by some of the Councillors that as the Explanations of some of the Divisional Accountants were not taken, it would be better that the consideration of the question be postponed till after they were obtained, as the Divisional Accountant threw the blame on the Head Accountant and the Head Accountant on the Divisional Accountants.

Messrs. C. Hayavadana Rao and Belur Sreenivasaiengar spoke in favour of disposing of the allegations against Mr. R. Raghunatha Rao, immediately and independently of the explanations to be obtained from the Divisional Accountants.

Mr. G. Paramasiviah then formally proposed that the consideration of the allegations against Mr. R. Raghunatha Rao be put off till the explanations of the Divisional Accountants were obtained and placed before the Council. This was seconded by Mr. D. Venkataramiah. The proposition was put to vote and lost, as only 4 voted 'For' and 12' Against' it. The question was then discussed, and was put to vote. Twelve Councillors were of opinion that Mr. R. Raghunatha Rao, the then Head Accountant, was not guilty of negligence.

As regards the Divisional Accountants against whom there are allegations of negligence and dereliction of duty, it was resolved that the matter might be left to the Municipal Commissioner for disposal.

The Council then resumed its sittings as Council and Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao proposed that the decisions of the Council in Committee as regards the allegations against Messrs. A. Narasinga Rao and R. Raghunatha Rao be confirmed. This was seconded by Mr. Belur Sreenivasaiengar.

Voting :—13 'For' and 1 'Against'.

The Council resolved further that that the disposal of allegations against the Divisional Accountants be left to the Municipal Commissioner.

No. 37.

PROCEEDINGS OF AN ORDINARY GENERAL MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, BANGALORE HELD ON SATURDAY THE 9TH MAY 1925, AT ABOUT 10-30 A.M. IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER.

Present number ... ... 21. | Absent number ... ... 9.

In connection with the irregularities noticed in the accounts of the City Municipality, which were discussed at the successive meetings of the Council, Mr. C. Hayavadana Rao proposed and Mr. G. Paramasiviah, seconded that the question as to how to improve the system under which collections are remitted to the Municipal Treasury and other allied matters be referred to the Managing Committee and the Municipal Commissioner.

Remaining subjects on the agenda adjourned to Saturday the 16th May 1925 at 5 P.M.

MOHAMED ABBAS KHAN,
Honorary President.

BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Notification dated May 1925.

The right of collecting fees on *santhes* in the Nelamangala Taluk from the date of sanctioning the sale to 30th June 1926, will be sold by the President, Nelamangala Taluk Board, by public auction in the respective places and on respective dates noted against them at 1 P.M.

2. The successful purchaser shall collect fees only at the rates noted in the annexed schedule and at no higher rates, and only on the articles that will be brought on *santhe* days within the market premises.

3. The President, Taluk Board, reserves to himself the power of refusing the highest or any bid that may be offered without assigning any reason therefor.